### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Hartwig SCHWIER et al DOCKET NO.: P05,0153

SERIAL NO.: 10/532,870 CONFIRMATION NO.: 2241

FILED: June 16, 2006 GROUP ART UNIT: 3621

INVENTION: METHOD, COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT AND DEVICE

FOR THE PROCESSING OF A DOCUMENT DATA STREAM FROM AN INPUT FORMAT TO AN OUTPUT FORMAT" (As

Amended)

#### MAIL STOP PCT

Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

# SUBMITTAL OF ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

SIR:

Enclosed herewith is an English translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report to be entered in the file.

Respectfully submitted,

Brett Å. Valiquet

**SCHIFF HARDIN LLP -** Patent Department 6600 Sears Tower - 233 South Wacker Drive

(Reg. No. 27,841)

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 258-5786

Attorneys for Applicant CUSTOMER NO. 26574

CH1\ 4911950.1

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

#### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

## PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 72.2)

SCHAUMBURG, A EHWGEGANGEN

SCHAUMBURG, A EHWGEGANGEN

Postfach 86 07 48

81634 München
ALLEMAGNE

0 7. März 2007

Erled.

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
01 March 2007 (01.03.2007)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2002-1023 P

International application No. PCT/EP2003/012106

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International filing date (day/month/year) 30 October 2003 (30.10.2003)

Applicant

OCÉ PRINTING SYSTEMS GMBH et al

#### 1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation made by the International Bureau of the international preliminary examination report established by the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

#### 2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following elected Offices requiring such translation:

None

The following elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

EP, JP, US

## 3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report.

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Ellen Moyse

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

# Translation

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



# PCT

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2002-1023 P	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International			
International application No.	Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
PCT/EP2003/012106	30 October 2003 (30.10.2003)   Priority date (day/month/year)   31 October 2002 (31.10.2002)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or G06F 3/12 Applicant				
PP				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	5 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	ed by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule Administrative Instructions under the PCT).			
These annexes consist of a te	al of sheets.			
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
I Basis of the report				
II Priority				
III Non-establishment	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of invention				
V Reasoned statement citations and explan	nder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
VI Certain documents cited				
VII Certain defects in the international application				
VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of this report			
25 March 2004 (25.03.2	1			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer			
Pacsimile No.	Telephone No.			

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)

#### an \*

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/012106

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

I. Basis of the report				
1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*				
		the inte	rnational application as originally filed	
	冈	the des	cription:	
	•	pages	1-36 , as originally filed	
		pages	, filed with the demand	
		pages	, filed with the letter of	
	V	the clai		
	$\nabla \lambda$			
		pages	4-27 , as originally filed	
		pages pages	, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19 , filed with the demand	
		pages		
	K 71			
	$\bowtie$	the drav	wings:	
		pages		
		pages	, filed with the demand	
		pages	, filed with the letter of	
		the seque	nce listing part of the description:	
		pages	, as originally filed	
		pages	, filed with the demand	
		pages	, filed with the letter of	
2.	the in	nternation e elemen the lan the lan	o the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which hal application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  Its were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:  guage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).  guage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  guage of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/).	
3.	With preli	regard minary e contair	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international xamination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:  ned in the international application in written form.	
	H		gether with the international application in computer readable form.	
	H		ed subsequently to this Authority in written form.	
	$\mathbb{H}$		ed subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.	
		The st interna	atement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the tional application as filed has been furnished.	
	n-maria	The sta been fu	atement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has irnished.	
4,		The an	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
			the description, pages	
			the claims, Nos.	
		·	the drawings, sheets/fig	
5.		This rep	port has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	
	m in	icement s is report 0.17).	sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to tas "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16	
米林	Any r	replacem	ent sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.	

International application No. PCT/EP 03/12106

In this way, any data stream can be converted by means of the invention into a data stream which has the desired output format and with which high performance transmission can be achieved. In particular, the invention is not restricted to print data that use specific formatting principles and, in consequence, can be converted into the AFP format.

Conversion into an internal format, using formatted data as well as raw data, is not known from document D2 either.

Thus, the subject matter of claim 1 appears to be novel and inventive and said claim consequently satisfies the requirements of PCT Article 33.

 Dependent claims 2 to 22 likewise satisfy the requirements of PCT Article 33.

However, the following claims lack clarity (PCT Article 6).

The subject matter of claims 3 and 11 relates only to definitions of the desired results and should therefore be deleted.

Claims 5 and 6 define alternatives for the creation of a document template. Therefore, claim 6 should not be dependent on claim 5.

Device claims 23 to 26 and product claim 27, which relates to a computer program, are supported by the method claims and, in consequence, likewise satisfy the requirements of PCT Article 33.